- 1

In re

On Habeas Corpus

IGNACIO POLVOS PENA,

JAN 0 4 2005

Chief Executive Sifficer/Clerk
Superior Court of Ex. County of Senta Clara

MANUAL DEPUTY

SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA

COUNTY OF SANTA CLARA

No.: 96973

ORDER

The habeas corpus petition of IGNACIO POLVOS PENA, hereinafter Petitioner, is denied. From an examination of the proceedings and the statement of decision it appears that the denial of parole is supported by the evidence before the Board. Further, there has been no violation of the plea agreement, since Petitioner was considered for parole and had the opportunity that it be granted after serving the equivalent of only 17 years. Neither at that time, nor now,

The evidence that Petitioner encountered his victim, left the scene to retrieve a weapon, returned and laid in wait, and

does it appear that Petitioner is ready for release.

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

25

26

27

28

ultimately fired seven shots at the unsuspecting victim at close range, does support the finding that the committing offense was carried out in an especially cruel and callous manner and that Petitioner poses an unreasonable risk to public safety. His failure to upgrade vocationally and failure to develop any parole plans, and the opposition of the investigating and prosecuting agencies further support the decision.

The framework within which a parole decision is made provides that parole is the rule and not the exception. (In re Smith (2003) 114 Cal.App.4th 343, 351.) Furthermore, the crime alone cannot forever be grounds for a parole denial without regard to all other factors. (Biggs v. Terhune (2003) 334 F.3d 910, 916.) This may be especially so when there has been a plea bargain in which the People have stipulated that the crime is no more than of the second degree. (See e.g. People v. Gipson (2004) 117 Cal.App.4th 1065 and People v. Letteer (2002) 103 Cal.App.4th 1308 regarding plea bargains as contracts.) But the determination of whether or not Petitioner presents a danger to society necessarily begins with recognition of the fact that he has established that danger by carrying out the committing offense. Thus, his performance in prison is of the utmost importance. He must show that he has made efforts towards, and had success in achieving personal growth and change since the time of his This may be demonstrated by attendance at group programs commitment. and meetings on topics relevant or related to the criminal conduct and development of skills and trades, without which there is a real likelihood of failure or slippage into past patterns when released from the highly structured environment of prison.

In this case, the totality of the record tends to indicate that Petitioner has not substantially changed from the person who committed the murder of Amador Mendoza Valencia. This case is unlike Brown v. Poole (2003) 337 F.3d 1155 because Petitioner has not been a model prisoner and has not made necessary efforts at personal growth and development of skills needed to succeed on parole and become a law abiding person.

The petition is denied.

DATED:

LINDA CONDRON
JUDGE OF THE SUPERIOR COU

cc: Petitioner (at CSP Solano)

Petitioner's Attorney (Jack Gordon)

Attorney General (Brian Walsh)
District Attorney (Margo Smith)

CJIC

SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF SANTA CLARA

PEOPLE OF THE STATE O		CICE NO OCCUR
	Plaintiff,)	CASE NO. 96973
VS)	
)	
Ignacio Polvos Pena,)	
)	•

PROOF OF SERVICE BY MAIL OF: ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE

CLERKS CERTIFICATE OF MAILING;

I CERTIFY THAT I AM NOT A PARTY TO THIS CAUSE AND THAT A TRUE COPY OF THIS DOCUMENT WAS MAILED FIRST CLASS POSTAGE PREPAID IN A SEALED ENVELOPE ADDRESSED AS SHOWN BELOW AND THE DOCUMENT WAS MAILED AT SAN JOSE, CALIFORNIA ON January 5,2005

Dated: Jan 5, 2005

KIRRI TORRE
County Clerk

Lydia Gonzale:

Ignacio Polvos Pena CSP-Solono Unit -21 P.O. Box 4000 Vacaville, Ca 95696-4000

CJIC/Hall of Justice 190 W. Hedding Street San Jose, CA 95110 (placed in inter-office box) Brian Walsh Deputy Attorney General 455 Golden Gate Ave, Suite 11000 San Francisco, CA 94102-7004

Research Attorney's/Hall of Justice 190 W. Hedding Street San Jose, CA 95110 (placed in inter-office box)